

Asset Recovery

in Hong Kong

Downloaded on 14 February 2020

The information contained in this report is indicative only. Globe BMG is not responsible for any actions (or lack thereof) taken as a result of relying on or in any way using information contained in this report and in no event shall be liable for any damages resulting from reliance on or use of this information. © Copyright 2006 - 2020 Globe Business Publishing Limited

Table of contents

CIVIL ASSET RECOVERY

Parallel proceedings Forum Limitation Jurisdiction **Time frame** Admissibility of evidence Witnesses Publicly available information Cooperation with law enforcement agencies **Third-party disclosure** Interim relief Non-compliance with court orders Obtaining evidence from other jurisdictions Assisting courts in other jurisdictions **Causes of action** Remedies Judgment without full trial Post-judgment relief Enforcement **Funding and costs**

CRIMINAL ASSET RECOVERY

Interim measures Proceeds of serious crime Confiscation – legal framework Confiscation procedure Agencies Secondary proceeds Third-party ownership Expenses Value-based confiscation Burden of proof Using confiscated property to settle claims Confiscation of profits Non-conviction based forfeiture Management of assets Making requests for foreign legal assistance Complying with requests for foreign legal assistance Treaties Private prosecutions

UPDATE AND TRENDS

Emerging trends

LAW STATED DATE

Correct on:



Contributors

Hong Kong



Ashima Sood ashimasood@gallhk.com Gall



Nick Gall nickgall@gallhk.com Gall





CIVIL ASSET RECOVERY

Parallel proceedings

Is there any restriction on civil proceedings progressing in parallel with, or in advance of, criminal proceedings concerning the same subject matter?

There are no restrictions on civil proceedings progressing in parallel with, or in advance of, criminal proceedings concerning the same subject matter. However, a plaintiff in civil proceedings will need to consider carefully, and as a matter of strategy, whether to choose to wait until the criminal proceedings are concluded before commencing any civil proceedings. A conviction against the defendant in the criminal proceedings may be used to assist the plaintiff in proving liability in the civil proceedings. However, in circumstances where it is important for a plaintiff to take urgent action (such as to make an application for an injunction to freeze assets), normally the plaintiff will not wait for criminal proceedings to conclude.

Forum

In which court should proceedings be brought?

The Small Claims Tribunal can deal with civil claims for an amount up to HK\$ 75,000.

The District Court has jurisdiction to hear and determine any action founded on contract, quasi-contract or tort where the amount of the plaintiff's claim does not exceed HK\$3 million. However, where the proceedings relate to land, the District Court has jurisdiction to deal with claims not exceeding HK\$7 million.

All other claims exceeding HK\$3 million or falling outside the District Court's jurisdiction will be heard before the Court of First Instance.

Limitation

What are the time limits for starting civil court proceedings?

There are different limitation periods for different causes of action.

The time limit for commencing an action for simple contract or tort, enforcing a recognisance (ie, a conditional obligation undertaken by a person before a court), enforcing an award, and recovering any sums recoverable under any Hong Kong ordinance is six years from the date on which the cause of action accrued.

For claims in respect of contracts under seal (ie, a deed), the time limit is 12 years from the date of breach.

For personal injury or death claims, the time limit is three years from the accrual of the negligent act or omission, or knowledge.

The six-year limitation period will not begin to run until the plaintiff has discovered the fraud, concealment or mistake (as the case may be) or could with reasonable diligence have discovered it in respect of the following cases:

- the action is based on fraud;
- any fact relevant to the plaintiff's right of action has been deliberately concealed from him or her by the defendant; or
- the action is for relief from the consequence of a mistake (ie, a misunderstanding of the facts, which causes one or more party to enter into a contract without understanding the responsibilities or outcomes).



However, the postponement of the limitation period in the case of fraud, concealment or a mistake does not apply in circumstances where the plaintiff seeks to recover any property, enforce any charge against him or her, or set aside the transaction affecting the property against an innocent third party who purchased the property with valuable consideration.

Jurisdiction

In what circumstances does the civil court have jurisdiction? How can a defendant challenge jurisdiction?

Subject to certain limitations (eg, over acts of state such as defence and foreign affairs), the civil courts generally have jurisdiction over all cases in the region. However, the civil courts may not have the jurisdiction to hear and determine any action in the following circumstances:

- there is insufficient connection between the parties and the Hong Kong jurisdiction (eg, neither of the parties is domiciled or ordinarily resides in Hong Kong, or the cause of action did not arise in Hong Kong);
- the parties have previously agreed that a particular court outside Hong Kong will have exclusive jurisdiction over any dispute between them, or the matter should be arbitrated;
- considering the best interests and convenience of the parties to the proceedings and the witnesses in the proceedings, the proceedings should be conducted in another court; or
- there are other proceedings pending between the plaintiff and the defendant in another court outside Hong Kong.

A defendant who wishes to challenge the jurisdiction of the Hong Kong court must first complete an acknowledgement of service and give notice of intention to defend the proceedings. The defendant must do the following within the time limit for filing or serving his or her defence:

- issue a summons stating the grounds on which the jurisdiction of the Hong Kong court is disputed, and the relief sought (eg, an order setting aside the writ or service of the writ on him or her, or a declaration that the Hong Kong court has no jurisdiction over the defendant in respect of the subject matter of the claim); and
- file and serve a supporting affidavit verifying the facts on which the application is based.

Time frame

What is the usual time frame for a claim to reach trial?

The courts are generally quite busy. Assuming all goes smoothly, a matter can proceed to trial within 24 to 36 months of the commencement of proceedings.

Admissibility of evidence

What rules apply to the admissibility of evidence in civil proceedings?

The law relating to the admissibility of evidence (found within, among others, the Evidence Ordinance, the Rules of the High Court and the common law) is complex and beyond the scope of this chapter. However, in general, evidence is admissible in civil proceedings if it is relevant to an issue in the proceedings. Evidence is relevant if it renders the existence of a fact in issue more or less probable. That said, there are a number of exclusionary rules of evidence, which may render relevant evidence inadmissible. The exclusionary rules of evidence generally fall into two categories:

- it is inadmissible because of public policy; for example, the evidence is covered by legal professional privilege or litigation privilege; and
- in cases where a specific rule forbids the admission of certain evidence (for example, the hearsay rule).

Witnesses

What powers are available to compel witnesses to give evidence?

In criminal proceedings, the Court of First Instance and the District Court are empowered to issue a witness summons to compel the attendance of a person at court and to compel that person to give evidence or produce a document specified in the summons.

A magistrate is empowered to issue a witness order in relation to a witness called or whose statement was tendered at a preliminary inquiry, requiring that witness to attend and give evidence at the trial of the accused before the Court of First instance.

A person who disobeys without just excuse a witness order or a witness summons requiring him or her to attend before a court, or refuses to be sworn or to give evidence when duly required to do so, whether or not he or she is the subject of a witness order or a witness summons, is guilty of contempt of that court.

As for civil trial, generally the parties are free to decide which witnesses they call and in what order. The attendance of witnesses in civil proceedings in the Court of First Instance can be enforced by a writ of subpoena issued by the Court Registry.

Publicly available information

What sources of information about assets are publicly available?

The following information about assets is publicly available:

- land registration;
- companies registration;
- business registration;
- trademark registration; and
- vehicle registration.

Cooperation with law enforcement agencies

Can information and evidence be obtained from law enforcement and regulatory agencies for use in civil proceedings?

It is possible to obtain information (eg, the identity of the accused) from law enforcement and regulatory agencies for use in civil proceedings by making a request in writing.

In general, information obtained from law enforcement and regulatory agencies is protected by the Personal Data (Privacy) Ordinance. However, the privacy regime provides for specific exemptions whereby access to data for the purpose of the prevention or detection of crime, or for the purpose of legal proceedings within Hong Kong, is permissible. In case the information is required for foreign proceedings, the cross-border transfer provisions apply and are more stringent.



The courts have commented that failure to provide the requisite information by the authorities is seen as an obstruction to the administration of justice. If the authorities are unwilling to cooperate, the relevant party can seek recourse from the courts by taking out a summons for discovery.

Third-party disclosure

How can information be obtained from third parties not suspected of wrongdoing?

Pre-action discovery

Proposed plaintiffs (both local and foreign) are able to take advantage of a pre-action process known as Norwich Pharmacal applications. Such an application allows the proposed plaintiff to seek an order from the court that innocent third parties, who may have been caught up in a wrongdoing perpetrated against the proposed plaintiff, provide discovery in relation to such wrongdoing.

Norwich Pharmacal orders are often employed by the proposed plaintiff to identify wrongdoers, obtain evidence in support of proposed proceedings against wrongdoers, identify assets belonging to the wrongdoers, or trace assets or funds dissipated by the wrongdoers.

A Bankers Trust order is a form of Norwich Pharmacal order that requires a bank to provide information and discovery ordinarily protected by the bank's duty of confidentiality, for the purpose of enabling the tracing of funds through bank accounts.

Post-action discovery

At any stage of the proceedings, a party may apply to the court for an order that a third party, who appears likely to have or have had in his or her possession, custody or power any documents that are relevant to an issue arising out of that claim, disclose and produce such documents.

The Evidence Ordinance provides a similar provision in respect of banks, in that any party to any proceedings may apply to the court for an order to inspect and take copies of any entries in a banker's record for the purposes of such proceedings. The court may make such an order with or without summoning the bank.

Alternatively, if a witness is unwilling to attend an examination or to produce documents voluntarily, the witness can be compelled to do so by the serving of a writ of subpoena.

Interim relief

What interim relief is available pre-judgment to prevent the dissipation of assets by, and to obtain information from, those suspected of involvement in the fraud?

The main interim relief available pre-judgment to prevent the dissipation of assets and to obtain information from those suspected of involvement in fraud include the following:

- a Mareva injunction (ie, an injunction restraining a defendant from dealing with his or her assets and removing them from the jurisdiction). The Mareva injunction will also require the defendant to disclose, by affidavit, to the plaintiff all assets owned by the defendant;
- an Anton Piller order (ie, an injunction requiring the defendant to permit the plaintiff to enter the defendant's
 premises to enable him or her to inspect the documents relating to the subject matter of a cause, and to seize
 and remove such documents and place them into safe custody);
- a prohibition order to prevent a debtor from leaving Hong Kong;



- the interim attachment of property of a defendant (whereby property belonging to the defendant becomes a form of security before judgment until the defendant furnishes the required security);
- the appointment of a receiver: a receiver may be appointed to recover and protect funds and other assets that the defendants have obtained in connection with the fraud; and
- the appointment of a provisional liquidator: in circumstances where fraud was perpetrated by or through a company (which may be insolvent or has become insolvent as a result of the fraud), a provisional liquidator may be appointed by the court to preserve that company's assets pending the determination of a winding-up petition against that company. The provisional liquidators (and any subsequent liquidators appointed) will have the power to investigate the affairs of the company and any fraud perpetrated by or through the company.

Non-compliance with court orders

How do courts punish failure to comply with court orders?

Courts can order severe sanctions such as striking out a party's claim or entering judgment against a party, unless the court's orders are complied with within the prescribed time. This is the most common sanction in Hong Kong to ensure a party's compliance with court orders.

A person who fails to comply with a court order or an undertaking may also be in contempt of court. Although the primary punishment for contempt is imprisonment, committal orders are usually a remedy of last resort. The court will usually fine the contemnor or require a bond for his or her good behaviour instead.

Obtaining evidence from other jurisdictions

How can information be obtained through courts in other jurisdictions to assist in the civil proceedings?

In Hong Kong, there is a mechanism for the examination of a person out of the jurisdiction who is unwilling or unable to be present at trial. An application for an order may be made to the court for the issue of a letter of request to the judicial authorities of the country in which the evidence of that person is to be taken abroad. Such evidence may be taken either orally or by means of written questions. However, the Hong Kong courts will not readily allow such an application as a consequence of the great expense and delay involved. An order for the issue of letters of requests is usually granted in cases where the evidence is directly material to an issue in the case and is necessary in the interest of justice.

Assisting courts in other jurisdictions

What assistance will the civil court give in connection with civil asset recovery proceedings in other jurisdictions?

Section 21M of the High Court Ordinance provides foreign plaintiffs with a tool to identify, protect and realise assets in Hong Kong even if the substantive proceedings are conducted elsewhere, provided that the foreign proceedings are capable of giving rise to a judgment that may be enforced in Hong Kong (as a general rule, it must be a final and conclusive monetary judgment).

If so, provided that proceedings have been or are to be commenced against a party in a jurisdiction outside Hong Kong, a foreign plaintiff may use section 21M of the High Court Ordinance to seek interim relief in Hong Kong, such as appointing a receiver, or obtaining a Mareva injunction, over the foreign defendant's assets. The rules that apply to a

local plaintiff seeking such relief will also apply to foreign plaintiffs seeking to obtain similar relief under section 21M of the High Court Ordinance.

The foreign plaintiff can then continue pursuing the foreign proceedings, without the need to run concurrent proceedings in Hong Kong, knowing that assets have been secured in Hong Kong.

If the foreign plaintiff subsequently obtains a judgment in the foreign proceedings, the judgment can be registered in Hong Kong and enforcement proceedings can be commenced against the assets frozen (for enforcement proceedings, see question 19).

Causes of action

What are the main causes of action in civil asset recovery cases, and do they include proprietary claims?

The main causes of action in civil asset recovery cases are as follows:

- fraud;
- fraudulent conveyance;
- · fraudulent trading;
- · fraudulent misrepresentation;
- unjust enrichment;
- · money had and received;
- misfeasance;
- breach of contract;
- repayment of loan;
- · infringement of intellectual property rights; and
- money laundering.

Proprietary claims are possible as well.

Remedies

What remedies are available in a civil recovery action?

The common remedies available in civil asset recovery actions include the following:

- restitution (ie, restoring the benefit conferred to the non-breaching party);
- damages;
- seizure of goods or property;
- final injunction (ie, a court order that requires a party to do, or refrain from doing, specified acts);
- constructive trust; and
- · account of profits.

Judgment without full trial

Can a victim obtain a judgment without the need for a full trial?

Default judgment

If a defendant fails to file an acknowledgement of service (ie, a notice that states whether or not the defendant intends to contest the proceedings) within 14 days from the date of service of a writ of summons, or a defence within 28 days after being served with a statement of claim or an acknowledgement of service, the plaintiff may enter the following:

i. final judgment if the writ is endorsed:

- for a liquidated sum (ie, an amount that is certain, fixed or agreed, or both); or
- for the recovery of land only;

ii. interlocutory judgment if the writ is endorsed:

- for unliquidated damages (ie, damages that are yet to be ascertained or assessed);
- for the detention of goods only;
- · for the detention of goods and damages; and

iii. final and interlocutory judgment if the writ is endorsed with mixed claims under (1) and (2).

Summary judgment

If a statement of claim has been served on a defendant and that defendant has given notice of intention to defend the action, a plaintiff may apply to the court for judgment on the ground that the defendant has no defence to a claim included in the writ.

An application for summary judgment applies to all actions except for, among others, a claim based on an allegation of fraud, defamation and malicious prosecution.

Post-judgment relief

What post-judgment relief is available to successful claimants?

The main post-judgment relief available to successful claimants includes the following:

- a Mareva injunction in aid of enforcement;
- the appointment of a receiver;
- the examination of judgment debtors in identifying the whereabouts of the assets of the judgment debtors; or
- the discovery or disclosure of documents against third parties.

Enforcement

What methods of enforcement are available?

The following methods of enforcement are available:

- a writ of fieri facias (ie, the mode of enforcement of a money judgment by the seizure and sale of the judgment debtor's goods and chattels, usually by auction, sufficient to satisfy the judgment debt and costs of execution);
- garnishee proceedings (ie, a process of enforcing a money judgment by seizing or attaching a debt due to the judgment debtor, to be paid directly to the judgment creditor. The most common example is garnisheeing a judgment debtor's bank account);
- charging orders (ie, an order for any property of the judgment debtor such as land or shares in a company to be frozen for securing the payment of the payment debt);
- the appointment of a receiver;

- an order for committal (ie, an order committing a person to prison);
- a writ of sequestration (ie, a process of contempt by proceedings against the property of the contemnor. It is available if the person is in contempt of court as a result of disobedience to a court order, or in breach of an injunction);
- bankruptcy proceedings; or
- winding-up proceedings.

There are also ways to aid the execution of judgment. These include the examination of judgment debtors or a prohibition order.

Funding and costs

What funding arrangements are available to parties contemplating or involved in litigation and do the courts have any powers to manage the overall cost of that litigation?

Under the Legal Practitioners Ordinance and the Solicitors' Guide to Professional Conduct, a solicitor may not enter into a contingency fee arrangement for acting in any contentious proceedings. A 'contingency fee arrangement' means any arrangement whereby a solicitor is to be rewarded only in the event of success in litigation by the payment of any sum (whether fixed, or calculated either as a percentage of the proceeds or otherwise). Such contingency fee arrangements are unlawful and unenforceable in contentious proceedings.

The prohibition against champerty and maintenance still applies. 'Maintenance' is defined as 'the giving of assistance or encouragement or support to litigation by a person who has no legitimate interest in the litigation, nor any motive recognised by the court as justifying the interference', while 'champerty' is defined as 'an aggravated form of maintenance, in which the maintainer supports the litigation in consideration of a promise to give the maintainer a share in the proceeds or subject matter of the action'. However, the courts have taken a more relaxed approach in relation to maintenance following a Court of Final Appeal case in 2007, and have made the following exceptions:

- if a person assisting or supporting the litigation has a legitimate common interest; and
- if the assistance, encouragement or support to litigation would advance a person's access to justice, without which he or she would not be able to pursue his or her claim.

Further, the High Court held that Hong Kong liquidators and similar overseas appointment takers are able to enter into litigation funding arrangements in respect of certain causes of action vested in the company over which they are appointed. This is commonly known as the insolvency exception to maintenance and champerty. As a result of these decisions, liquidators are commonly relying on litigation funding to pursue claims and Hong Kong is seeing the emergence of third-party funders and after-the-event insurance in respect of such claims.

CRIMINAL ASSET RECOVERY

Interim measures

Describe the legal framework in relation to interim measures in your jurisdiction.

The Drug Trafficking (Recovery of Proceeds) Ordinance and the Organised and Serious Crimes Ordinance provide for the restraint of assets or property, or charge of property, to preserve it for the purpose of satisfying a confiscation order.

A restraint order prohibits any person from dealing with any realisable property. An item of property under restraint may also be seized by an authorised officer for the purpose of preventing any realisable property from being removed from Hong Kong.



A charging order imposes a charge on the property (eg, land or securities) for securing the payment to the Hong Kong government of the following:

- · an amount equal to the value of that property; and
- an amount not exceeding the amount payable under the confiscation order.

Applications for a restraint order and charging order may only be made by the prosecution. Such orders can be made by the Court of First Instance after proceedings have been instituted against the defendant for a 'specified offence' or a 'drug trafficking offence' and the judge is satisfied that there is reasonable cause to believe that the defendant has benefited from that specified offence or drug trafficking offence.

Once a restraint order is made, the court can appoint a receiver to take possession of any realisable property and manage and deal with the property.

Proceeds of serious crime

Is an investigation to identify, trace and freeze proceeds automatically initiated when certain serious crimes are detected? If not, what triggers an investigation?

Law enforcement powers, such as the power to identify, trace and freeze proceeds, are not automatically initiated when serious crimes are detected. Such powers, which mainly vest in law enforcement and regulatory agencies, are discretionary and may be exercised depending on the circumstances surrounding the case.

Confiscation – legal framework

Describe the legal framework in relation to confiscation of the proceeds of crime, including how the benefit figure is calculated.

The Drug Trafficking (Recovery of Proceeds) Ordinance and the Organised and Serious Crimes Ordinance provide for the tracing, freezing, confiscation and recovery of the proceeds of drug trafficking and other indictable offences. The former Ordinance applies when a person is convicted for, among other things, trafficking, supplying to an unauthorised person, manufacturing, cultivating, or importing or exporting a dangerous drug. The latter Ordinance applies when a person is convicted of a 'specified offence', which is listed in Schedules 1 and 2. This includes possession of arms or ammunition without licence, import or export of goods bearing a forged trademark, and possession of forged documents.

Although many offences are not listed in the two Ordinances, it is still possible to capture the proceeds of such offences through the vehicle of money laundering. This effectively means that if a person 'deals' with the proceeds of any indictable offence, such as tax evasion, he or she may be committing the offence of money laundering, and such proceeds can come within the scope of the confiscation provisions in the Organised and Serious Crimes Ordinance.

The two Ordinances also have a wide reach in terms of place and time. They apply to property situated outside Hong Kong, and to offences committed prior to these Ordinances coming into force.

The courts calculate the 'benefit figure' by assessing the value of the accused's proceeds of crime.

Confiscation procedure

Describe how confiscation works in practice.

After conviction, the prosecution may apply to the court for a confiscation order against the offender as part of sentencing. The prosecution will then need to prove the following preconditions to confiscation on a balance of probabilities (ie, more probable than not):

- whether a person has benefited from a specified offence;
- whether a person has benefited from an organised crime; and
- the amount to be recovered in this case in pursuance of a confiscation order.

The prosecution will then file a statement setting out the facts to support an application for confiscation. The statement of facts is treated as conclusive except for those facts that the accused expressly does not accept.

The defendant may also be directed by the court to submit a statement that is relevant to determining the amount that might be realised at the time the confiscation order is made. If the prosecution accepts any of the allegations in the defendant's statement, such facts may be treated as conclusive.

Any disputed facts between the prosecution and the defendant will be subsequently resolved at a hearing before the court.

Agencies

What agencies are responsible for tracing and confiscating the proceeds of crime in your jurisdiction?

The Hong Kong Police Force has various divisions that are responsible for tracing and confiscating the proceeds of crime in Hong Kong. These include the following:

- the Commercial Crime Bureau;
- the Organised Crime and Triad Bureau; and
- the Joint Financial Intelligence Unit, which is jointly run with the Customs and Excise Department.

In addition, the Independent Commission Against Corruption was established to combat, among others, corruption and bribery, and also has powers to trace and confiscate the proceeds of crime.

Secondary proceeds

Is confiscation of secondary proceeds possible?

Confiscations of secondary proceeds are possible. The Mutual Legal Assistance in Criminal Matters Ordinance provides that proceeds can include 'property derived or realised, directly or indirectly, from direct proceeds'.

Third-party ownership

Is it possible to confiscate property acquired by a third party or close relatives?

Third-party interests are not normally considered at the confiscation stage. It is therefore possible to confiscate property acquired by a third party or close relatives.

If the convicted person does not pay after a confiscation order is imposed, there will be a second proceeding to recover the property from the offender to satisfy the confiscation order. In this proceeding, third parties may have their interests heard and recognised before the court.

If proceedings to recover property have been wrongfully initiated, property holders may apply to the Court of First Instance under the Drug Trafficking (Recovery of Proceeds) Ordinance and the Organized and Serious Crimes Ordinance for compensation.

Expenses

Can the costs of tracing and confiscating assets be recovered by a relevant state agency?

The Mutual Legal Assistance in Criminal Matters Ordinance allows the Secretary for Justice to liquidate property that has been confiscated. This Ordinance also provides that reasonable expenses incurred during the asset recovery process may be deducted from the liquidated property.

Value-based confiscation

Is value-based confiscation allowed? If yes, how is the value assessment made?

Value-based confiscation is permitted in Hong Kong. The courts calculate the 'benefit' to the convicted offender. Having determined the accrued benefit, the court will then assess the offender's ability to pay (ie, the value of the amount that might be realisable from the offender's assets, or the value of the accused's proceeds of any specified offence).

If the amount that might be realised at the time the confiscation order is made is less than the value of the accused's proceeds, then the recoverable amount is only the amount that might be realised.

The court may then make a confiscation order in the amount of the benefit or the realisable assets, whichever is lower.

Burden of proof

On whom is the burden of proof in a procedure to confiscate the proceeds of crime? Can the burden be reversed?

The Prosecutions Division of the Department of Justice has the burden of proof in a procedure to confiscate the proceeds of crime (for preconditions to a confiscation order, see question 24).

The burden of proof then shifts to the accused if he or she wishes to claim that he or she is unable to pay the amount under the confiscation order on the basis that the amount exceeds the value of the realisable property. The standard of proof required is also a balance of probabilities.

Using confiscated property to settle claims

May confiscated property be used in satisfaction of civil claims for damages or compensation from a claim arising from the conviction?

Confiscated property cannot be used to satisfy civil claims for damages, or compensation from a claim arising from the conviction.

Confiscation of profits

Is it possible to recover the financial advantage or profit obtained through the commission of criminal offences?

It is possible to recover the financial advantage or profit obtained through the commission of a criminal offence.

Under the Interpretation and General Clauses Ordinance, the definition of 'property' includes the following:

- money, goods, choses in action and land; and
- obligations, easements and every description of estate, interest and profit, present or future, vested or contingent, arising out of, or incident to, property described above.

Non-conviction based forfeiture

Can the proceeds of crime be confiscated without a conviction? Describe how the system works and any legal challenges to in rem confiscation.

Although local confiscation is generally conviction-based, some powers of forfeiture exist without a conviction. For example, where an offender has absconded and the court is satisfied that reasonable steps have been taken to ascertain the person's whereabouts or to obtain the return of that person to Hong Kong, a confiscation order may be made against his or her criminal assets.

Management of assets

After the seizure of the assets, how are they managed, and by whom? How does the managing authority deal with the hidden cost of management of the assets? Can the assets be utilised by the managing authority or a government agency as their own?

Once a confiscation order is made, the court may, on an application by the prosecutor, appoint a receiver to realise the seized property. The proceeds of the realisation of the property will usually be paid to the court, and applied to paying any expenses incurred by a person acting as an insolvency officer and the receiver's remuneration and expenses. If there is any money remaining after the court has made all payments, it will be paid into the general revenue.

Making requests for foreign legal assistance

Describe your jurisdiction's legal framework and procedure to request international legal assistance concerning provisional measures in relation to the recovery of assets.

A request by Hong Kong to an appropriate authority of a place outside Hong Kong for assistance in a criminal matter may be made by the Secretary for Justice under the Mutual Legal Assistance in Criminal Matters Ordinance. Such request may be made for assistance in relation to the taking of evidence, production of materials, or search and seizure (see question 13 for the procedure in respect of taking evidence and the production of materials by Hong Kong courts).



Complying with requests for foreign legal assistance

Describe your jurisdiction's legal framework and procedure to meet foreign requests for legal assistance concerning provisional measures in relation to the recovery of assets.

Under the Mutual Legal Assistance in Criminal Matters Ordinance, a request by an appropriate authority of foreign jurisdiction to Hong Kong for assistance in a criminal matter may be made to the Secretary for Justice. Such request may be made for assistance in relation to the taking of evidence, the production of materials, search and seizure, and the transfer of persons.

The request must be accompanied by the following:

- the name of the authority concerned with the criminal matter;
- a description of the nature of the criminal matter and a statement setting out a summary of the relevant facts and laws;
- a description of the purpose of the request and the nature of the assistance being sought;
- details of the procedure that the foreign jurisdiction concerned wishes Hong Kong to follow;
- a statement setting out the wishes of the foreign jurisdiction concerning the confidentiality of the request and the reason for those wishes;
- · details of the time within which the foreign jurisdiction wishes the request be complied with;
- details of allowances to which the person will be entitled and the arrangements for accommodation for that person while the person is in the foreign jurisdiction, if the request involves a person travelling there from Hong Kong; and
- a statement setting out the maximum penalty for the external offence.

Mutual legal assistance agreements have been entered into between Hong Kong and 30 other countries (including United States, United Kingdom and Australia) for cooperation in the investigation, prosecution and prevention of crime.

Treaties

To which international conventions with provisions on asset recovery is your state a signatory?

The following are the international conventions or treaties to which Hong Kong is a party:

- the United Nations Convention against Illicit Traffic in Narcotic Drugs and Psychotropic Substances;
- the United Nations Convention against Transnational Organized Crime;
- the United Nations Convention against Corruption; and
- the Hague Convention on the Service Abroad of Judicial and Extrajudicial Documents in Civil or Commercial Matters.

Domestically, Hong Kong has enacted legislation to implement the new international arrangements for cooperation in criminal matters, including the Mutual Legal Assistance in Criminal Matters Ordinance.

Further, Hong Kong also participates as a member of certain international organisations and conferences on its own, using the name Hong Kong, China, such as the Financial Action Task Force against Money Laundering.

Private prosecutions

Can criminal asset recovery powers be used by private prosecutors?

Criminal asset recovery powers can only be used by the law enforcement and regulatory agencies and cannot, therefore, be used by private prosecutors.

UPDATE AND TRENDS

Emerging trends

Are there any emerging trends or hot topics in civil and criminal asset recovery in your jurisdiction?

39 Are there any emerging trends or hot topics in civil and criminal asset recovery in your jurisdiction?

Recently, under the Fugitive Offenders and Mutual Legal Assistance in Criminal Matters Legislation (Amendment) Bill 2019, amendments have been proposed to the Mutual Legal Assistance in Criminal Matters Ordinance (Cap. 525) (MLAO) to remove the geographical restriction on the application of the MLAO to other parts of China. If the legislation comes into force, asset recovery requests from China will be processed in the same manner as requests from foreign jurisdictions.

Hong Kong has also recently signed an arrangement with Mainland China concerning mutual assistance in courtordered interim measures in aid of arbitral proceedings, the only jurisdiction to have signed such an arrangement with Mainland China. The commencement date of the arrangement is yet to be decided. Upon its commencement, parties to arbitral proceedings in Hong Kong will be able to apply to the Mainland courts for interim measures, including those relating to property and evidence preservation.

LAW STATED DATE

Correct on:

Give the date on which the above content is accurate

